

East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm

Appendix 26.26 Inter-relationships

Environmental Statement Volume 3

Applicant: East Anglia TWO Limited Document Reference: 6.3.26.26 SPR Reference: EA2-DWF-ENV-REP-IBR-000918_026 Rev 01 Pursuant to APFP Regulation: 5(2)(a)

Author: Royal HaskoningDHV Date: October 2019 Revision: Version 1



This page is intentionally blank

Link	Impact 1: Pedestrian amenity		Impact 2: Severance		Impact 3: Highway Safety		Impact 4: Driver Delay (capacity)		Impact 5: Driver Delay (highway geometry)		
	Assessed Impacts	Residual Impacts	Assessed Impacts	Residual Impacts	Assessed Impacts	Residual Impacts	Assessed Impacts	Residual Impacts	Assessed Impacts	Residual Impacts	Proposed Mitigation
1	Inpacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Negligible	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Impacts 1 – 5: No mitigation the proposed East Anglia T
2	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Major	Minor	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Impacts 1, 2 & 5: No mitiga
3	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Major	Minor	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	of the proposed East Anglia
											Impact 3: Road safety mea A1094 to include: • A speed limit reduc • Enhanced warning • Rumble strips; and • Suspension of emp hours.
											Impact 4: Driver delay mea include the suspension of a network peak hours.
4	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Impact 1: Pedestrian amen provided within Theberton. Impacts 2, 3, 4 & 5: No miti
											design of the proposed Eas
5					Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Impacts 1 – 5: No mitigation the proposed East Anglia T
6	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Impact 1: Pedestrian amen provided within Snape.
											Impacts 2, 3 & 5: No mitiga of the proposed East Anglia Impact 4: Driver delay mea to include the suspension of network peak hours.
7					Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Impacts 1 – 5: No mitigation the proposed East Anglia T
8					Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Moderate	Minor	Impacts 1, 2, 3 & 4: No miti design of the proposed Eas Impact 5: Driver delay mitig to include the following. All consolidation site where loa
											vehicles. Where loads can are to be escorted by a pilo
9	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Impacts 1, 2, 3 & 5: No miti design of the proposed Eas
											Impact 4: Driver delay mea to include the suspension of network peak hours.
10					Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Moderate	Minor	Impacts 1, 2, 3 & 4: No miti design of the proposed Eas Impact 5: Driver delay mitig to include the following. All consolidation site where loa

ion further to that embedded within the design of TWO project is considered necessary. gation further to that embedded within the design lia TWO project is considered necessary.

easures proposed for the junction of the A12 and

- uction;
- ng signs;
- nd

nployee vehicle movements during network peak

easures at the junction of the A12 and A1094 to f all employee vehicle movements during the

nity new footways and dropped crossings to be

nitigation further to that embedded within the ast Anglia TWO project is considered necessary. ion further to that embedded within the design of a TWO project is considered necessary. enity new footways and dropped crossings to be

gation further to that embedded within the design glia TWO project is considered necessary.

easures at the junction of the A1094 and B1069 of all employee vehicle movements during the

ion further to that embedded within the design of TWO project is considered necessary. itigation further to that embedded within the

ast Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.

tigation at the junction of the A1094 and B1122 Il vehicles to travel to a construction

oads can be broken down and placed on smaller annot be consolidated to smaller vehicles HGVs ilot vehicle.

itigation further to that embedded within the ast Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.

easures at the junction of the A1094 and B1069 of all employee vehicle movements during the

itigation further to that embedded within the ast Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.

tigation at the junction of the A1094 and B1122 Il vehicles to travel to a construction oads can be broken down and placed on smaller

Link	Impact 1: Pedestrian amenity		Impact 2: Severance		Impact 3: Highway Safety		Impact 4: Driver Delay (capacity)		Impact 5: Driver Delay (highway geometry)		
	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Proposed Mitigation
	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	
											vehicles. Where loads canr are to be escorted by a pilot
11	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Impact 1 – 5: No mitigation
12	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	the proposed East Anglia T
13					Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	7
14					Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	7
15					Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	7
¹ Key				•							
	Links screene	d out in accord	lance with GEA	RT Rule 1 and	2						
	Links requiring	g further mitiga	tion								

1

annot be consolidated to smaller vehicles HGVs bilot vehicle. on further to that embedded within the design of a TWO project is considered necessary.